June 18, 2019

The Honorable Nancy Pelosi
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Mitch McConnell
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

The Honorable Kevin McCarthy
U.S. House of Representatives
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Chuck Schumer
U.S. Senate
Washington, DC 20510

Dear Speaker Pelosi, Minority Leader McCarthy, Majority Leader McConnell and Minority Leader Schumer,

We, the undersigned organizations, write to urge you to block the Administration’s recent proposal to adjust the Official Poverty Measure calculated by the U.S. Census Bureau. We understand that the House has already taken steps through its appropriations bills to bar any changes to the poverty measure. We urge you to ensure that this language is part of any final funding bill for FY 20, and if necessary take additional and more immediate measures outside of the appropriations process to prohibit the administration from implementing its proposal.

As organizations dedicated to improving the well-being of our nation’s children, we strongly oppose this proposal, which could underestimate the number of children and families living in poverty and jeopardize their eligibility for programs that support healthy child development. With children experiencing poverty at a rate that is 62 percent higher than adults, our nation’s children and youth stand to lose the most if this change is enacted.

The Office of Management and Budget issued a request for comment on May 7 on a proposal to adjust the Official Poverty Measure (OPM) by changing the rate of inflation used to calculate the poverty threshold each year. The administration is considering changing the measure used to adjust the poverty line to one that would cause inflation to rise more slowly, thus also slowing the adjustment of the poverty threshold over time.

First, we remain concerned that some of the alternative inflation measures under consideration, such as the Chained Consumer Price Index for all Urban Consumers (C-CPI-U) and Personal Consumption Expenditures Price Index (PCEPI) could underestimate inflation rates for low-income families. Research suggests that families in poverty actually experience inflation at higher rates than families with higher socioeconomic status due to constraints they face in

substituting lower-priced goods.2 There is also evidence that this phenomenon is more pronounced during periods of economic downturn.3

Second, a more accurate inflation estimate does not necessarily equal a more accurate poverty measure. The OPM is currently based on a decades-old formula that does not fully account for a family’s various expenses, such as housing and child care, or take into consideration regional variations in cost of living. The Federal Poverty Level already remains too low, at just $24,858 a year for a family of four with two children. According to the Basic Needs Budget Calculator created by the National Center for Children in Poverty, a family of four with two children living in Washington, D.C. needs an annual income of $72,297 a year to meet basic needs, which is nearly three times the current poverty threshold.4

Any adjustments to the OPM should seek to correct, rather than exacerbate, the existing formula’s deficiencies. If the Administration is pursuing a more accurate measure of poverty, it cannot narrowly focus on inflation but instead must undertake a comprehensive, evidence-based reevaluation of OPM’s underlying formula so that it can capture the true cost of meeting basic needs.

A family’s eligibility for several critical assistance programs depends on their income in relation to the poverty threshold as determined by the OPM. An artificially low poverty threshold could therefore cause millions of children to lose access to healthcare, nutritious food, early education, heating assistance, and other critical resources. Programs that depend on Department of Health and Human Services guidelines to determine eligibility would be affected, including (but not limited to): Parts of Medicaid, Children’s Health Insurance Program (CHIP), Head Start, Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC), National School Lunch and Breakfast Programs, Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program (LIHEAP), and Legal Services for the Poor through Legal Services Corporation.

What is more, since this measure is tied to inflation, the negative effects will increase with each passing year. The Center on Budget and Policy Priorities estimates that after ten years, indexing the OPM to the C-CPI-U would cause more than 300,000 children to lose coverage through Medicaid and CHIP, as well as some pregnant women.5 Similarly, we anticipate repercussions of reduced eligibility over time for SNAP and WIC, of which children represent 44 percent and 76 percent of participants, respectively. Those states that do not operate with Broad-Based Categorical Eligibility to extend SNAP eligibility to families who earn more than 130 percent of the poverty line could particularly see disproportionate numbers of individuals losing access to

---


food assistance over time. These examples are just part of the potential repercussions, as enrollment decreases in other programs will surely make the proposal’s impact more severe.

As we approach the third decade of the twenty-first century, the inequality gap in the United States continues to grow—with children at the forefront. A recent landmark study from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine confirms what we know to be true: child poverty remains high in the U.S. and there is a direct and causal link between poverty and negative outcomes for children.⁶

As members of the children’s advocacy community, we urge you to give all children a chance to reach their true potential and block this harmful proposal.

Sincerely,

American Medical Student Association
American Public Health Association
Association of Asian Pacific Community Health Organizations
Association of School Business Officials International
Bread for the World
Child First, Inc.
Child Welfare League of America
Children’s Advocacy Institute
Children’s Dental Health Project
Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia
Community Action Partnership
Cystic Fibrosis Foundation
Families USA
Family Promise
Family Voices
Feeding America
First Focus on Children
Five Years Fund
Food Research and Action Center
Friends of Children
Kelly Anne Dolan Memorial Fund
March of Dimes
MomsRising
National Association of Pediatric Nurse Practitioners
National Association of School Nurses
National Center for Youth Law

National Early Child Program Accreditation
National Network for Youth
National Parent Teacher Association
National Prevention Science Coalition to Improve Lives
National Resource Center on Domestic Violence
National Respite Coalition
Parents as Teachers
Public Advocacy for Kids
Save the Children Action Network
Union for Reform Judaism
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Alaska
Family Promise Mat-Su

Arkansas
Arkansas Advocates for Children and Families

California
California Children’s Hospital Association
Children Now
Children’s Defense Fund – California
County Welfare Directors Association of California
Door of Hope
First 5 Association of California
First 5 Sonoma County

Colorado
Colorado Children’s Campaign
Family Promise of Greater Denver

Connecticut
All Our Kin
Connecticut Voices for Children

District of Columbia
Children’s Law Center

Indiana
Marian County Commission on Youth

Kansas
Kansas Action for Children
Kansas Appleseed
Louisiana
Family Promise of St. Tammany

Maine
Maine Children’s Alliance

Massachusetts
Massachusetts Law Reform Institute

Maryland
Advocates for Children and Youth

Michigan
Michigan Community Action
Michigan’s Children
Seasons of Love Detroit
Train UP a Child

Montana
Child Care Resources, Inc.
Rural Dynamics

Nebraska
Voices for Nebraska’s Children

Nevada
Family Promise of Las Vegas

New York
Early Care and Learning Council
Teacher’s College
The Children’s Agenda

North Carolina
Family Promise of Davie County
Family Promise of Wake County

Ohio
Bethany House Services
YWCA Greater Cincinnati

Oregon
Children’s Institute
Willamette University

**Pennsylvania**
Grands as Parents
Pennsylvania Association for the Education of Young Children
Wesley Family Services

**Rhode Island**
Rhode Island Kids Count

**Texas**
Children at Risk
TexProtects

**Vermont**
Let’s Grow Kids
Voices for Vermont’s Children

**Virginia**
Virginia Poverty Law Center
Voices for Virginia’s Children

**Washington**
Children’s Alliance

**Wisconsin**
Kids Forward
Sinsinawa Dominicans Peace and Justice